ARBITRATION AWARDS - printed Jun 24, 1998

AISI	Case#	Arb.	DEC	Description
				performing & meeting the requirements of the heating job but are not
30.140	16-G-27	377	PG	G.a. Wedding Contends action taken by Co. when on 6-5-60 he was discharged its unjust, unfair foreman must distinguish alternatives.
221.000	18-F-38	378	D	Agg. feels he has been demoted without cause from Sewage disposal helper to laborer.(Grievant afraid of heights)
190.410	18-F-36	379	D	Co.has right to determine installationto apply some form of incentive to earnings
	18-F-34-2	380	NA	Requires a determination as to whether Co. complied with Arb. Award 380(This is a supplement to Award 380)Only Mr. Ashley lost monies; evidence does not show that any other
70.200	18-F-34		G	Transportion clerks claim that Yardmastersare performing the duties of B.U. employees inasmuch as they have & are now Supervisors can do no work according to CBA
150.400	11-F-47	381	PG	Company foremen doing bargaining unit work
180.600	7-F-66	382	D	Employees in Elect. Dept. allege that in #3 Finisher are not scheduled adequate forces for performance to work to be done.
200.330	7-F-65	383	D	Failure to supply work due to equipment failure. Breakdowns
130.230	6-F-36	384	PG	Wage Rate Inequity Agreement
190.460	5-F-58	385	D	Wage Incentive plan 60-0528-plan found not to be inequitable.
150.240	20-F-51	386	D	Journeymen machinistsarbitrator limited by CBA in retroactivity.
0.000	20-F-62	387	NA	E. Gonzalez #2146 alleges letter of discipline resulting in 3 days off Grievance not itrable-untimeliness
30.18.7	18-F-41	388	D	L.M. Mitchell #8664 Agg. states that Register letter #217771 is unjust & unwarranted & that it is a prefabrication of facts grievant indicated he would not stay.
190.460	22-F-65	389	D	Wage Incentive Plan -work margin per